UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

RECEIVED

JUN 23 2008 6-23-2008 MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Kaymond Setzke	
(Enter above the full name	
of the plaintiff or plaintiffs in this action)	
vs.	Case No: 08-00-03094 (To be supplied by the Clerk of this Court)
LARRY LURRIS	(To be supplied by the <u>Clerk of this Court</u>)
•	ns LEAnne Baker, Mark Brenthal
	Elvin, Unknown Sot, Police Chief
•	arels Proitt, Paula Stitz
(Enter above the full name of ALL	
defendants in this action. Do not use "et al.")	
CHECK ONE ONLY: SECOND	AMENDED COMPLAINT
COMPLAINT UNDER TUS. Code (state, county,	THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, TITLE 42 SECTION 1983 or municipal defendants)
COMPLAINT UNDER T 28 SECTION 1331 U.S. 0	THE CONSTITUTION ("BIVENS" ACTION), TITLE Code (federal defendants)
OTHER (cite statute, if k	nown)
BEFORE FILLING OUT THIS COMPL FILING." FOLLOW THESE INSTRU	LAINT, PLEASE REFER TO "INSTRUCTIONS FOR CTIONS CAREFULLY.

I.	Plaint	riff(s):
	A.	Name: Raymond Setzke
	B.	List all aliases:
	C.	Prisoner identification number: # 119843
	D.	Place of present confinement: EASTERN, ARK, REGINOLUNIT
	E.	Address: Box 180 Pricksus Ak 72330-180
	numbe	re is more than one plaintiff, then each plaintiff must list his or her name, aliases, L.D. er, place of confinement, and current address according to the above format on a te sheet of paper.)
	(In A position	dant(s): below, place the full name of the first defendant in the first blank, his or her official on in the second blank, and his or her place of employment in the third blank. Space o additional defendants is provided in B and C.)
	A.	Defendant: LARRY MORRIS
		Title: Director Ark Dept. of Core.
		Place of Employment: ADC Box 808, Pine Bluff Ak.
	В.	Defendant: Charles Pruitt
		Title: Director ACIC
		Place of Employment: AKK, OKima Twf. Center Little Pock Ar.
	C.	Defendant: Phulia Stitz
		Title: MANAGER SEX Offender Rebisky
		Place of Employment: Ark, Chima Inst Canter, Little Rock AR.
	(If yo	u have more than three defendants, then all additional defendants must be listed

according to the above format on a separate sheet of paper.)

Officer meluin	
Officer Warrenvi	
WARKENVILLE POL	ice, Bathwin Ad. WAKEFUUILLE IL. 60555
unknow Set	マレール - アー・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・
SGt. WARRENWILLS	Police
MARRANUILLA POL	ice, BATAUIA Rd, WARRENVIlle Ic. 60555
unknown Police	Obje 2
そうりんし イグ そうしんり	
hlappenluille	Alies, BATAVIA Rd WARRENVILLE IL LOSS
aran karang an Arang an Mariel da Saland Marie da Mariel da Saland da Arang an Arang an Arang an Arang an Aran Arang an Arang an Ar	And the contract of the contra
Kalandin 1880 - 1881 - 1887 - 1887 - 1888 - 1888 - 1884 - 1884 - 1884 - 1884 - 1884 - 1884 - 1884 - 1884 - 188	
haines (1870-1984) at 1870-1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980,	

III.

Nam	e of case and docket number: Stzke V. Nokkis # 07-51
Appr	oximate date of filing lawsuit: 160 2007
	ull plaintiffs (if you had co-plaintiffs), including any aliases: hay mond Setzke
	ill defendants: Liarry Norkis, Warden Maphs, Leanne E K Bernthal, Chiarses Pruitt, Paulia Stitz,
Cour	t in which the lawsuit was filed (if federal court, name the district; if state the county): WESTERN DISTRICT OF ACKANSIAS
Namo	e of judge to whom case was assigned: Tim LAKEY HENCKEN
Basic	claim made: Due Process
Is it s	osition of this case (for example: Was the case dismissed? Was it app till pending?): Still Dending Requesting to Join Northern District of Fullnois with class to s

IF YOU HAVE FILED MORE THAN ONE LAWSUIT, THEN YOU MUST DESCRIBE THE ADDITIONAL LAWSUITS ON ANOTHER PIECE OF PAPER, USING THIS SAME FORMAT. REGARDLESS OF HOW MANY CASES YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY FILED, YOU WILL NOT BE EXCUSED FROM FILLING OUT THIS SECTION COMPLETELY, AND FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF YOUR CASE. CO-PLAINTIFFS MUST ALSO LIST ALL CASES THEY HAVE FILED.

IV. Statement of Claim:

the Plaintiff Asserts that IN 1981 IN Dupage Co. Illinois - He Plead Guilty to a Charge Not Classified a Sex Offense, an Agreement Was made

ON 12-13-04 the Plaintiff Is Porced and his Release to Sign Papers to Rebister as a Sex Offender Dur to this Illinois Charge In Which the State of Arkansas, and Its entities Lacked Jurisaliction over. That Durring the Plea In 1981, the Judgement Pequired No Recistry, In that Illinois Law In 1981, the Charge was not Classified a Sex Offense. That by Doing this Did Violate the the Plaintiffs Dur Process Rights Protected by the 1st 5th and 14th Amend-Ments of the Constitution of the United States. The State of Arkansas, and It's entities Deliberately, and Indifferently Breached the Plaintiffs 1981. Plea Abreement Mack With the Dupage Co. Courts, and the State Of Illinois. Stating on the Judgement Tyres. Doc. Sentence Was Discharged Jan. 1985.

Durring the end of march or beginning of April, 2006. Further The State of Arkansas and It's entities Place Quicelew out the Plaintiff, and the Plaintiffs Iccinois Conviction From 1981 Placing the Plaintiff on the Wational Recistry, and the Arkansas Crome Information Center Recistry this Was the Direct Cruse of Warrenville Police (Officer Melow) and Child, Family, Services to take the Plaintiffs Daughter From him at Johnson School Warrenville Iccinois. This Crused embarrassment, Police Measured From the School to the Plaintiffs house, Surrounded the house With Guns and Informed the Plaintiffs Weighbors - He was a Resistered Sex Offender Officer Melvin of Warrenville Ablice Spoke With AcIC Trying to Confirm a Warrant For the Plaintiff, to No-Avail, He Spoke With the Plaintiff a Recistered Sex Offender, Knowing the Plaintiffs Plea Adreement And Conviction In 1981 Barred Him From Naving to Recister. All of the Above Had Knowledge of the Plaintiff and the Charge In 1981, yet Continued to Violate the Plaintiffs Rights Deliberately and Indifferently

that the Plaintiffs Plea Abreement Was Overehed, and they failed to Correct What he had Knowledge of, and Persued the Plaintiff as a Recistered Sex Offender

The Philotiff Assects Inter-Alia In that the Above Violation's Began When the State Of Arkansas, and It's entities flace Burden upon the Phaintiffs, and the State of Illimois flea Agreement in 1981. That Jurisdiction Is a Matter of Law, and Jurisdiction In Both Cases Setzk V. Norris #07-5186. And Setzke V. Norris #08-03094 Should be Adjoined by Rule 18. And Merge Into one Case In the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division Where Jurisdiction of the 1981 Conviction, and Plea Agreement Is. And Venue Is Proper Due to the Above Defendants, and Jurisdiction, of Said Conviction In Illinois, that has Been Breached.

(Larry Morres)

Is Director of the Arkansas Dept. Of Corrections He has knowledge of everything that Goes on In his Acison. It Is his Job to know. He turns a Blind eye When His Dubardinates Committ Fraud, and Breach a Plea Abreement the Plaintiff has with the State of Athansas and violate the Plaintiffs Due Arceess Rights to be Free From Registering Ox Kwice Burdend For his 1981 Conviction, He Fails to Correct and elegal Application of Arhansas Recistery on the Plaintiff that Caused the Plaintiffs Rights to Also be Violated on March, April of 2006 In Narrewille Illinois.

(Charcels Pevitt, and Paula Stitz)

ARE HERD OF ARKANSAS CRIME INFORMATION CENTER (ACTE) And the Sex offender REGistry. They know All LAWS of REGISTRY IN the United States, It IS their Job to Know. They know A Blind eye to the Committing of FRANCE And the Breaching of A Plea Alsreement the Plaintiff has with the State of Illinois that Violates the Plaintiffs Due Process Rights to be Free From REGISTERING, OK Knice Burdend for his 1981 Conviction When (Officer Meluin) Controls them to Confirm Information that Caused the Plaintiffs Rights

to Also be violated on march, April of 2006 In Nakremuille Illinois when they Apriled to Obrrect the Elegal Application of their Presistry that Violated the Plaintiff's Rights In the Judsdiction of Warremville Illinois.

(LEIANINE BAKER, And Murk BERNAHAI)

Neke the Plaintiffs Abrole Officers-they Know the Laws of the Arthmeshs Revisited, and they Know the Plaintiffs 1981 Change to Illinous Obes Not Fall Withto Arkanshs Revisited Requirements. They fail to Concert the Committal of France, and Assist IN the Speeding of Information, and Speeding It Robbidy - that Breaches the Plaintiffs Plea Askeement Mark With the State of Illinois IN 1981. This Also Violates to Due Frances to be three From Registering, and twice Burdend For the 1981 Conviction When Officer Melvins Contracts them on March, April 2006 to Confier Information that Caused the Plaintiffs to be Violated With the Elegal Applications of Arhansas Registery When they failed to Act on, or Correct this Instudice-In the Jurisdiction of Warren Ville Illinous.

Officer Melula)

IS AN Officer of the WARRENVIlle Police Dept. IN WARRENVIlle Truinois. He Knows the Laws of Truinois Because It's his Job to Know, and Is teaminated to Know. He fails to Act on, and Correct by Refusing to Contract Proper Authorities of the Arendulant Application on the Plaintiffs 1981 Truinois Obniviction, He Condones the Spreading of Information that Violates the Alawhiffs Due Arcress Rights to be tree Arom Presistering, or twice Burderd tox His 1981 Conviction that Officer Melulus Fersued the Plaintiff as a Register Sex Offender, Having Knowledge of the Plaintiff, Law, and His Parole Officers and Acto.

(The SGt of WARRENVIlle Police that Is UNKNOWN)

Fails to Mean, and Supercuise his Subardinates IN Contacting the Proper Authorities When Arkansas State Abentoies Committ Fraud Abainst the Plandiffs 1981 Illinois Charge, the Fails to Mean, and Supercuise IN Most the Plandiffs Rights to Due Process Canadot be Violated by Spreading Information When Rebistry Laws Do Not Apply to the Plandiff, and Cannot be burdend Knice For his 1981 Conviction that State Asentoies of Arkansas Breached a Plea Abreement between the Plandiff, and the State of Illinois.

(Unknown) Police Chief)

Of Warkenville Police Pails to Adopt Policies IN Reporting Fraud When State Abentaies Apply a Sex Offender Relaistry and the Planwiff, When Laws Don't Apply to him. He fails to train, and Cupercise In Proper Proceedure, that Chused the Plaintiffs Due Process Rights to be Violated and to be tree from Relaistring, and Lot to be twice Burdend for his 1981 Illinois Conviction. He fails In Yaking Corrective Measures When His Subsectionates, violate the Plantiffs Rights

* The Plaintiff Will Also File A Bivens If Applicable on NCIC.
Plaintiff Also Reserves the Right to Add Claims, or Defendants
Or Amend His Complaint As he Go then Discovery and for
Exact Dates

V. Relief:

State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Cite no cases or statutes.

Plaintiff Request manetary, Compensary, Punitive, and Fraudul ant
The Plaintiff Will Itemize At Later Date For Relief
VI. The plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. YES - NO

CERTIFICATION

By signing this Complaint, I certify that the facts stated in this Complaint are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that if this certification is not correct, I may be subject to sanctions by the Court.

73,0,00	. 2	- Col)		• • •
(Signature of pla	intiff or	plaintiffs			
Preymond	Set	ke		•	
(Print name)					
[‡] 119843	:		•		
(I.D. Number)					
Box 180					